

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/634,515 08/04/2003 Francis Joseph Gojny Gojny.F-10 6877 22197 7590 09/22/2004 EXAMINER GENE SCOTT; PATENT LAW & VENTURE GROUP 3140 RED HILL AVENUE SUITE 150 COSTA MESA, CA 92626-3440 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3711						
22197 7590 09/22/2004 EXAMINER GENE SCOTT; PATENT LAW & VENTURE GROUP 3140 RED HILL AVENUE SUITE 150 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	APPLICATIO	N NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
GENE SCOTT; PATENT LAW & VENTURE GROUP 3140 RED HILL AVENUE SUITE 150 GORDON, RAEANN ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	10/634,5	15	08/04/2003	Francis Joseph Gojny	Gojny.F-10	6877
3140 RED HILL AVENUE SUITE 150 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	22197	75	90 09/22/2004		EXAM	INER
SUITE 150 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			-	GORDON, RAEANN		
COSTA MESA, CA 92626-3440 3711			LAVENOL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	COSTA	A MESA	, CA 92626-3440		3711	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/634,515	GOJNY, FRANCIS JOSEPH					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Raeann Gorden	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2004.						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	om consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Address and (a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-4-03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Delent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/634,515

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group 1 in the reply filed on 8-21-04 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Binette et al (2001/0019969). Regarding claims 1 and 2, Binnete discloses a golf ball comprising a core and cover made from an ionomer and a metallic flake (abstract; para 102). Regarding claim 3, the core includes a polybutadiene, organic peroxide and a crosslinking agent (paras 113-114). Regarding claim 5, the process of making the golf ball does not appear to further limit the structure, see MPEP 2113. Regarding claims 4 and 6, the metals may be titanium, aluminum, nickel or iron alloys (table 17). The properties of the alloys are inherent since they are identical to the alloys claimed by applicant. Regarding claim 7, the cover layer includes from 1 to 100 parts of the metals

Application/Control Number: 10/634,515

Art Unit: 3711

(para 101). Regarding claim 11, the cover layer thickness is from 0.02 to 0.250 inch or 0.5 to 6.3 mm (para 23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Binette in view of Mertens (6,193,617). Binette discloses the invention as shown above and further discloses the particle size of the metallic flakes is between 10 and 325 mesh. It is not clear if Binette is disclosing the mesh number (i.e. ASTM-E11) or the micron size of the metallic flakes. If the mesh numbers are disclosed they are larger than the 2 to 40 micron size claimed by applicant. If the micron size is disclosed Binette clearly overlaps applicant's range. In any event, if the former is argued by applicant. Mertens is cited as the secondary reference to teach the particle size. Mertens teaches a golf ball cover including particles wherein the particle size is from 1 to 40 microns (col. 3). Since the size of the flakes coincides with applicants the aspect ratio is considered an obvious feature. One of ordinary skill in the art would vary the particle size to achieve the most desirable features.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,515

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg

September 19, 2004

PAEANN GORDEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER